

# PhD Kolloquium



# PhD Theory on Technology

## Kolloquium Fall 2012

first meeting:  
October 2nd 2012

Meetings are held on  
Tuesdays, 9 am / 3 pm  
via skype, between the  
CAAD Chair in Zürich  
and the NUS/ETHZ  
Future Cities Laboratory  
in Singapore.

### Computability – considered in the light of the *Master Argument*

Computation is largely treated today as the procedure to »mechanize« »logics«. Our interest with a *projective* theory on technology is not to reject (negate) or affirm (analyse) the assumptions involved, but to sort them out strategically. Our interest is to complement the scientific paradigm of »control« for theorizing technology with a humanistic dimension of ability and artistic mastership. This interest has a long tradition in philosophy, and crystallizes in the so-called Master Argument. The Master Argument regards the possibility if and how we can meaningfully and methodically involve *temporality* and *self-referentiality* into logical/formal considerations. The inferential structure of the Master Argument has first been articulated by Diodorus Cronus in the 3rd century BC, and tries to formalize a paradox which has preoccupied all the main steps of development in systematical thought ever since. This is why the many attempts to formalize this paradox provide, for our projective theory interest, a rich and differentiated *reflecting surface* that allows to investigate, comparatistically, how these questions have been treated over time.

While the philosophical interest in the Master Argument was mainly in questions of legitimation and foundation, our interest in it is operational. We will not take, allegorically speaking, the position of the Despotic Priest, the Philosopher King, the Statesman or the Assigned Administrator, but that of the Symbolical Metallurgist. In short: we will seek to extract from the Master Argument and its history a template that allows us to cultivate computing as an ability, namely the template of *a mechanism for learning how to learn when being equipped with the generic methods of algebra*.

We will read Jules Vuillemin's book *Necessity and Contingency, The Master Argument* (Center for the Study of Language and Information, Stanford University Press 1996). The historical account he gives is framed by the rôle of probabilistics for Information Science and Computing, and thereby especially relevant.

If you are interested in participating please email until September 28 to: [buehlmann@arch.ethz.ch](mailto:buehlmann@arch.ethz.ch)  
The book is available in PDF version upon request.

Main reading

Jules Vuillemin, *Necessity and Contingency. The Master Argument*, Center for the Study of Language and Information, Stanford University Press 1996.

Complementary reading

[1] E.T.Bell, *The Development of Mathematics*, Dover, 1940.

[2] Israel Kleiner, *A History of Abstract Algebra*, Birkhäuser Basel/Boston 2007.

[3] Leo Corry, *Modern Algebra and the Rise of Mathematical Structures*, Springer 2004.

[4] Yvette Klosmann-Schwarzbach, *The Noether Theorems: Invariance and Conservation Laws in the Twentieth Century*, Springer 2011.

[5] Werner M. Seiler, *Involution. The Formal Theory of Differential Equations and its Applications in Computer Algebra*, Springer 2010.

<p><b>Tuesday October 9 2012</b> <b>Generic Methods and The Master Argument</b></p>	<p><b>Tuesday October 9 2012</b> E.T.Bell: <b>From Mechanics to Generalized Variables</b> ([1] p. 370–382)</p>
<p><b>Tuesday October 16 2012</b> <b>Systems of Necessity – A System of Logical Fatalism: Diodorus Cronus.</b></p>	<p><b>Tuesday October 16 2012</b> Israel Kleiner: <b>History of Classical Algebra</b> ([2] p.1–14) &amp; <b>History of Group Theory</b> ([2] p. 17–38)</p>
<p><b>Tuesday October 23 2012</b> <b>Systems of Necessity – Eternal Return and Cyclical Time: Cleanthes' solution.</b></p>	<p><b>Tuesday October 23 2012</b> Leo Corry: <b>Richard Dedekind – Numbers and Ideals</b> ([3] p. 64–135)</p>
<p><b>Tuesday October 30 2012</b> <b>Systems of Necessity – Freedom as an Element of Fate: Chrysippus.</b></p>	<p><b>Tuesday October 30 2012</b> Leo Corry: <b>Emmy Noether – Ideals and Structures</b> ([3] p. 220–252)</p>
<p><b>Tuesday November 13 2012</b> <b>Systems of Contingency – Towards Rehabilitating Opinion as Probable Knowledge of Contingent Things. Aristotle.</b></p>	<p><b>Tuesday November 13 2012</b> Israel Kleiner: <b>History of Ring Theory</b> ([2] p.41–60) &amp; <b>History of Field Theory</b> ([2] p. 63–77)</p>
<p><b>Tuesday November 20 2012</b> <b>Systems of Contingency – Epicurus and Intuitionism.</b></p>	<p><b>Tuesday November 20 2012</b> Israel Kleiner: <b>History of linear Algebra</b> ([2] p.79–89) &amp; <b>Emmy Noether and the Advent of Abstract Algebra</b> ([2] p. 91–101)</p>
<p><b>Tuesday November 27 2012</b> <b>Systems of Contingency – Carneades and the Skeptical Nominalism of the Modalities.</b></p>	<p><b>Tuesday November 27 2012</b> E.T.Bell: <b>Invariance</b> ([1] p. 420–468)</p>
<p><b>Tuesday December 4 2012</b> <b>Systems of Contingency – Platonism and Conditional Necessity.</b></p>	<p><b>Tuesday December 4 2012</b> Yvette Kosmann–Schwarzbach: <b>The Inception of the Noether Theorems</b> ([4] p. 25–53)</p>
<p><b>Tuesday December 11 2012</b> <b>Epilogue</b></p>	<p><b>2012 Tuesday December 11 2012</b> Yvette Kosmann–Schwarzbach: <b>The Inception of the Noether Theorems</b> ([4] p. 25–53) &amp; <b>The Noether Theorems</b> ([4] p. 55–65)</p>
<p><b>Tuesday December 18 2012</b> <b>Temporality, Self-reference, and Computation as an ability</b> (Vera Bühlmann)</p>	<p><b>Tuesday December 18 2012</b> Werner M. Seiler: <b>Involution – Overdetermined Systems</b> ([5] p.1–8) &amp; <b>Involution 1: Algebraic Theory</b> ([5] p.63–104)</p>